

Forced Mass Refinancing

Attempting to Increase Homeowner Cash Flows

Richard X. Bove
Vice President Equity Research
Financial Sector
rbove@rochdalesecurities.com
813.909.1111

Circular Reasoning

Apparently the Administration is close to suggesting a new program that could result in the mass refinancing of home mortgages. The theory behind the program is that if \$85 billion in interest payments are taken away from the holders of mortgages and given to the borrowers, under these mortgages, it will stimulate more spending and an economic recovery.

Press Reports

One week ago, the *New York Times* published an article that indicated that the Administration was testing a number of concepts that would allow Americans with relatively high cost mortgages to refinance this debt at current rates which are much lower. The article indicated that in July, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac held \$2.4 trillion in mortgages that had interest rates that were 4.5% or higher. It was suggested that if all of these loans were refinanced at 4.0%, the current mortgage rate, homeowners would save \$85 billion.

The objections to putting a program in place immediately was that it was realized that if the homeowners saved \$85 billion, the GSEs would lose \$85 billion. This would increase their losses and the taxpayers need to replace that money.

However, the idea seems to be resurfacing. The *Wall Street Journal* wrote a lengthy article on it in today's edition. Moreover, declines in GSE bond prices suggest that at least some observers think that some type of refinance program may be mandated by the Administration.

Fed's View

Apparently, Ben Bernanke, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve has been frustrated by the fact that interest rates are low but mortgages are not being refinanced. It had been his assumption that by lowering interest rates, homeowners would take advantage of the situation by refinancing their homes. It was also believed that the banks would not be hurt by the process because short-term rates were also being lowered so banks could maintain their margins. Plus, bank mortgage fees tend to rise rapidly during refinance booms.

The hoped for boom never developed. Home prices had dropped. "Un" and under employment was soaring. Bank lending standards had been meaningfully tightened. The net result was mortgages were not being refinanced. Not only was this frustrating but home buying did not pick up either even though housing affordability was at relatively high levels.

Incompetence

The point that neither the Administration, the Treasury nor the Fed can seem to understand is that they have strangled bank lending with their capital and liquidity rules and their price fixing requirements. This was a core reason why bank lending did not open up to facilitate a refinancing boom. Suing and fining banks is not the way to increase lending activity particularly if the suits and fines are related to mortgages the very product desired to be increased.

The policymakers also failed to understand that the infrastructure needed to support a refinancing boom had been disassembled. It no longer existed. All these people were working on foreclosures and stiffer government regulations concerning foreclosures. The government had shut down the refinance apparatus and expected interest rate cuts to take its place.

It is a classic example of how badly the people who are supposed to understand banking do not have a clue as to how it works. They love to pass laws and new regulations but they do not care nor do they understand what these regulations will do. Then they get frustrated when the simplistic monetary theories they put in place do not work. Classic!!! Pathetic!!!

Banking Policy

Now these little gremlins are at work again trying to figure out how to solve the problem of getting a refinance boom underway. The program cannot result in big losses to the taxpayer and they must get the banks on board. This would not be hard to accomplish. All they need to do is change the capital and liquidity rules and allow mortgage rates to shift slightly higher to increase bank profits. Will they do this? Who knows?

If the program they come up with has in it big losses for the GSEs and big mark downs for the banks it will be another failure. The biggest failure is that these people are still working on consumption rather than production programs. Until they figure out that more production is what is required we will continue to take money out of one pocket to put it into another and assume that we have accomplished something.

Forced Mass Refinancing

Rochdale Securities LLC
750 E. Main St., 7th Floor
Stamford, CT 06902
Main 203.274.9100

Management

Trading

Dan Crowley
 President
djc@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9101

Kevin Cassidy
 Senior Vice President
 Chief Operating Officer
kjc@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9116

Kris Talgo
 Senior Vice President
 Trading Co-Head
klt@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9125

Hal Tunick
 Senior Vice President
 Trading Co-Head
ht@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9124

Financial Analyst

Merger Arbitrage and Special Situations

Richard X. Bove
 Vice President Equity Research
 Financial Sector
rbove@rochdalesecurities.com
 813.909.1111

Barry D. Kaplan
 Merger Arbitrage and Special Situations
bdk@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9121

Institutional Sales

Keith Arnott
jka@rochdalesecurities.com
 732.758.6981

Trey Bauer
tbauer@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9137

Richard Bennett
rbw@rochdalesecurities.com
 732.758.6982

Joseph Bove
jab@rochdalesecurities.com
 813.963.2999

Patrick Burke
prb@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9127

Pete Doehla
pkd@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9128

David Miller
dmiller@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9131

Niall Morrissey
nmm@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9130

Richie Oddo
ro@rochdalesecurities.com
 732.758.6988

John Ratkoski
jratkoski@rochdalesecurities.com
 732.758.6986

Kristen Talgo
klt@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9125

Hal Tunick
ht@rochdalesecurities.com
 203.274.9124

Jeff Wicker
jdw@rochdalesecurities.com
 925.253.1030

Forced Mass Refinancing

ROCHDALE SECURITIES - DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Rochdale Securities LLC ("Rochdale") is an institutional brokerage firm that does not make a market in equity securities and does not engage in investment banking. Rochdale and its affiliates, including its principals, may own securities of the companies which are subject of this report but do not own 1% or more of any class of common equity securities of any subject company.

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided for informational purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments.

Rochdale has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investment.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by Rochdale to be reliable, but Rochdale makes no representation as to their accuracy, timeliness, or completeness. Rochdale accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance.

Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by Rochdale and are subject to change without notice. Rochdale may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views, and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and Rochdale is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Rochdale to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction. All material presented in this report is the property of Rochdale and is under copyright to Rochdale. This report may not be reproduced, distributed, or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express written consent of Rochdale.

RR RATINGS DISTRIBUTION

BUY 23
HOLD 48
SELL 29

RATINGS FOR STOCKS

Buy Company has demonstrated that it is a value creating concern; the return on capital (as adjusted) exceeds its cost of capital. Stock is currently trading in a range that does not exceed its intrinsic value. Stock is expected to out-perform the market over the next twelve months.

Hold/Neutral Company either is not creating value (i.e., its costs exceeds its return on capital) or it is trading at a price equal to or in excess of its intrinsic value. Expectation is at best stock will perform in-line with market. If not currently held, the stock should be avoided.

Sell Company's cost of capital exceeds its return on capital; and the company has no intrinsic value or is trading at a significant premium to its intrinsic value. Expect stock to under-perform the market over next twelve months.

ANALYST CERTIFICATION

I do not hold any securities of the company covered by this report.

I certify that with respect to each security or issuer that I covered in this report; (1) all of the views expressed accurately reflect my personal views about those securities or issuers; and (2) no part of my compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by me in this research report.

-- Richard X. Bove